



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,666 12/31/2003		Bret Taylor	0026-0044	2791
44989 7590 12/29/2006 HARRITY SNYDER, LLP 11350 Random Hills Road			EXAMINER	
			THAI, HANH B	
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2163	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/748,666 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is Final Office Action in response to the amendment filed October 12, 2006. Independent claims 1, 14, 21 and 30 have been amended. Claims 20, 22 and 28-29 have been cancelled. Claims 1-19, 21, 23-27 and 30-31 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments regarding "ranking lists of search results relative to one another" have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As shown in FIG. 4, <u>each matching web site for the search request</u> in this embodiment is represented by its rank number. In the example shown, each matching web site or data element is represented by a bulb-like category member icon 402 having the web site's relative rank number depicted therein, such graphical representation referred to herein as a "web site icon or category member icon," (Holbrook, col. 10, lines 34-40).

Holbrook clearly discloses, in the paragraph above, a relative ranking of the web site reads on the claimed "ranking list of search results relative to one another."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 14-19, 21 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the

Art Unit: 2163

relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant pointed out that the support for the amended claims could be found at paragraph [0037]. However, there is not enough support for the claimed limitation "looking for predetermined terms in the search query that tend to indicate a particular document category is likely to be related to the search query."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-19, 21, 23-27 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holbrook (US 6,961,731 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Holbrook discloses a method comprising:

performing a search to determine documents related to a search query, the search being performed on a database containing a plurality of document categories to obtain a list of search results corresponding to each of at least two of the categories (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories), the plurality of document categories including an image category (Fig. 4; Fig.10; Fig.11B and col.10, lines 34-40, Holbrook discloses the raking

Art Unit: 2163

of web site "list of search results" including graphical representation such as "category icon" corresponding to "image category");

- ranking the lists of search results relative to one another (col.9, lines 2-6 and col.10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the "ranked matching of websites" reads on "ranking the lists of search results"); and
- generating a document in which the search results are placed in an organization determined based, at least in part, on the ranking of the lists of search results (Fig.3; Fig.7; Fig.9A and col.9, lines 2-6, Fig.3 of Holbrook showing the document of search results on the ranking list).

Regarding claims 2 and 15, Holbrook discloses wherein the organization of the generated document includes two or more of the lists of search results placed in a separate section (Fig.4 showing the list of search results placed in a separate section "society", "arts", "shopping" or "sport").

Regarding claims 3 and 16, Holbrook discloses wherein more highly ranked lists of search results are displayed more prominently in the generated document than less highly ranked lists of search results (Fig.2-3 and col.9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the "first numerically ranked matching- "1-50 sites" corresponding to "highly ranked list of search results").

Regarding claims 4 and 23, Holbrook discloses wherein the generated document includes the search results as hypertext transfer protocol links (col.9, line 66 to col. 10, line 3, Holbrook).

Regarding claims 5 and 24, Holbrook discloses wherein the more highly ranked lists of search results are displayed in sections that include more links than the sections corresponding to the less highly ranked lists of search results (Fig.2-4 and col.9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the

Art Unit: 2163

"first numerically ranked matching- "1-50 sites" corresponding to "highly ranked list of search results").

Regarding claims 6 and 25, Holbrook discloses wherein at least one of the sections includes a title describing the category corresponding to the section (Fig.4 of Holbrook showing the list of search results placed in a separate section, titled as "society", "arts", "shopping" or "sport").

Regarding claims 7, 17 and 26, Holbrook discloses wherein at least one of the sections includes a link to additional search results for the category corresponding to the section (Fig.4 and step 504, Fig.5, Holbrook).

Regarding claims 8, 18 and 27, Holbrook discloses wherein the generated document is a web page (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Holbrook discloses wherein ranking the lists of search results is performed based on documents in the lists of search results (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories).

Regarding claim 10, Holbrook discloses wherein ranking the lists of search results is performed based on the search query (col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook).

Regarding claim 11, Holbrook discloses wherein a most highly ranked list of search results is displayed on a left hand side of the generated document (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook).

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claim 12, Holbrook discloses wherein the categories additionally include a new category or a product category (Fig.2-4 and corresponding text, Holbrook).

Regarding claim 13, Holbrook discloses wherein the categories additionally include a general web page category (Fig.2-4 and corresponding text, Holbrook).

Regarding claim 14, Holbrook discloses a search engine comprising:

- a search component configured to identify documents related to a search query from a database containing a plurality of document categories, the search component obtaining a list of search results corresponding to each of at least two of the categories (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories);
 - a ranking component configured to rank the lists of search results relative to one another (col.9, lines 2-6 and col. 10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the "ranked matching of websites" reads on "ranking the lists of search results"), the ranking being based on the search query and including looking for predetermined terms in the search query that tend to indicate a particular document category is likely to be related to the search query (Fig. 4; Fig.10; Fig.11B and col.10, lines 34-40); and
- an interface generation component configured to generate a document in which the search results are organized based, at least in part, on the ranking of the lists of search results (Fig.3; Fig.7; Fig.9A and col.9, lines 2-6, Fig.3 of Holbrook showing the document of search results on the ranking list).

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claim 21, Holbrook discloses a method of organizing documents categorized into a plurality of categories, the method comprising:

- receiving a search query (col.10, lines 34-40);
- performing a search based on the search query in each of the plurality of categories to locate the documents 9col.10, lines 34-40);
- ranking the plurality of categories based on contents of the documents in each of the categories (col.9, lines 2-6 and col. 10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the "ranked matching of websites" are organized in categories), the ranking being based on the search query and including looking for predetermined terms in the search query that tend to indicate a particular document category is likely to be related to the search query (Fig. 4; Fig.10; Fig.11B and col.10, lines 34-40); and generating a results document in which the documents are organized by category and in which the documents of higher ranking categories are more prominently placed in the results document (Fig.2-3 and col.9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the "first numerically ranked matching- 1-50 sites" corresponding to "higher ranking categories in the result document").

Regarding claim 30, Holbrook discloses a computer-readable medium containing programming instructions for execution by a processor, the computer-readable medium including:

instructions for receiving input documents categorized into a plurality of categories (Fig.2-3; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses document categorized into a plurality of categories), the

Art Unit: 2163

plurality of document categories including an image category (Fig. 4; Fig. 10; Fig. 11B and col. 10, lines 34-40, Holbrook discloses the raking of web site "list of search results" including graphical representation such as "category icon" corresponding to "image category");

- instructions for ranking the input documents based on contents of the input documents in each of the categories (col.9, lines 2-6 and col. 10, lines 34-36, Holbrook discloses the "ranked matching of websites" are organized in categories); and
- organized by category and in which the documents of higher ranking categories are more prominently placed in the results document (Fig.2-3 and col.9, lines 2-6, Holbrook discloses the "first numerically ranked matching- 1-50 sites" corresponding to "higher ranking categories in the result document").

Regarding claim 31, Holbrook discloses receiving a search query; and performing a search based on the search query in each of the plurality of categories to locate the documents (Fig.2-4; col.6, lines 43-67 and col.8, line 52 to col.9, line 11, Holbrook discloses performing a search to obtain a list of search results of categories).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2163

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B. Thai whose telephone number is 571-272-4029. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2163

Hanh B Thai Examiner Art Unit 2163

December 6, 2006

DON WONG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100